revoked.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

	OMITED CIATES DISTRICT COOK!	TOR THE WESTERN BISTRI	O MICHIGAN	
United States of America		ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL		
	V. David Saenz, Jr. Defendant	Case No. 1:02 C	r 257	
	after conducting a detention hearing under the Bail I efendant be detained pending trial.	Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142((f), I conclude that these facts require	
		Findings of Fact		
(1)	The defendant is charged with an offense describe a federal offense a state or local offense existed – that is	ed in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) ar		
	a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § which the prison term is 10 years or more.	3156(a)(4), or an offense list	ed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for	
	an offense for which the maximum sentence	is death or life imprisonment.		
	an offense for which a maximum prison term	of ten years or more is presc	ribed in: .*	
	a felony committed after the defendant had U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable st		prior federal offenses described in 18	
	any felony that is not a crime of violence but a minor victim the possession or use of a firea		v other dangerous weapon	
	a failure to register under 18 U.s		y other dangerous weapon	
(2)	The offense described in finding (1) was committed while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state or local offense.			
(3)	A period of less than 5 years has elapsed since the offense described in finding (1).	e date of conviction	_ defendant's release from prison for the	
(4)	Findings (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presperson or the community. I further find that defend			
	•	tive Findings (A)	·	
(1)	There is probable cause to believe that the defend	ant has committed an offense		
	for which a maximum prison term of ten yea Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. 801 e under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).		.*	
(2)	The defendant has not rebutted the presumption e will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance			
		tive Findings (B)	•	
(1)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will not a	ppear.		
√ (2)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will enda	nger the safety of another per	son or the community.	
	Part II – Statement	of the Reasons for Detention	n	
	find that the testimony and information submitted a ✓ a preponderance of the evidence that:	the detention hearing establis	shes by clear and convincing	

Part III - Directions Regarding Detention

defendant was released on bond after his first appearance but failed to appear for trial on June 17, 2003. A bench warrant was issued. Defendant fled to Mexico. He surrendered in Texas in December 2009, after living for six years as a fugitive. Bond is

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or a designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or held in custody pending appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity to consult privately with defense counsel. On order of United States Court or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the defendant to the United States marshal for a court appearance.

Date:	January 13, 2010	Judge's Signature: ַ	/s/ Joseph G. Scoville
		Name and Title:	Joseph G. Scoville, U.S. Magistrate Judge